Common.SECC

Security Evaluation & Certification Consortium

Rule Book 1.7

Annex 7

Co-listing of Devices

DRAFT

Version 0.1

31.10.2019

Common.SECC Co-listing of Devices

Common.SECC will consider listing identical devices more than once on its website, with different vendor and device names ('co-listing'). The basic procedure for this is as follows.

A vendor may email us at common-secc@ukfinance.org.uk to tell us about a device that they wish us to accept as identical to an existing certified device. They should tell us the new vendor's contact details for the website, the existing vendor and device name, the new device name (if any) and any other pertinent information, and give us approval to contact the other vendor about this device. We would obtain approval from the original vendor to share certificate details with the new vendor. We would provide the new vendor with certificate dates and technical details (such as hardware and firmware identifiers and any documentation titles) from the existing certificate. The new vendor would confirm that the details remain the same, or inform us of any differences and assert that there are no security impacts (document titles and the colour of the casing may change, for example). We might want to see evidence, and reserve the right to request assurance from a laboratory.

Common.SECC would list the device on the Common.SECC website under the new vendor name, and may provide a form of synonym-certificate for the new device.

For maintenance and surveillance purposes we would expect to deal with the original vendor, but would consider dealing with the new vendor subsequently if both vendors accept that. Delta and reassessment evaluations must be accompanied by statements from both vendors saying that the devices are still the same.

If at some stage the devices become different following a change that only applies to one of them, they will subsequently be treated as separate devices for maintenance and surveillance.

The above description assumes that the hardware and firmware identifiers are identical except within wildcards (non-security relevant variations). If that is not the case then we would need an IAR from the vendor and a delta evaluation report from a laboratory confirming that the devices are identical in all security-relevant respects apart from the device name and the hardware and firmware identifiers. It should be made explicit in the ETR that this is a "co-listing delta", by including some wording in the ETR such as "This device is identical to device <vendor and device names> with Hardware <hhhh> and Firmware <ffffff>.".

The above may be varied as appropriate and as agreed by Common.SECC, such as to allow for more than two identical devices. Also, a co-listing request may be submitted before a device is first certified, and the procedure may change slightly if, for example, a vendor ceases trading.

Please note that the Common Security Evaluation & Certification Consortium should only be referred to using the shortened version "Common.SECC".